Murray S. Weitzman

Social and Economic Statistics Administration, Bureau of the Census

I. Introduction

There is still to this day and I imagine there will be for a long time to come, a not insignificant amount of useful and meaningful income information locked up in the considerable pile of data amassed each year. This material can be released and used profitably in stimulating and furthering income research through examining different functional uses of the data, coupled with innovative formulations of strategies, quantitative applications, and table designs.

In this paper I want to describe and discuss two sets of analytic income tables. One set of tables is now published annually but has not been featured in any analytic presentation and may have gone unnoticed in the plethora of detailed tables that the Bureau of the Census publishes. The other set of tables is part of a larger goal that revolves around casting income and other economic statistics into modules or entities. Both sets of tables can be used directly and effectively in economic and social analyses and also can be integrated into a system of economic and social accounts. These two sets of tables represent efforts to complement and expand the information and analytic potential of the main series of income tables pertaining to families, unrelated individuals, and persons and which are presented in the Bureau's annual P-60 Current Population Report on Consumer Income. The income data in the P-60 report are presented primarily as income size distributions cross-classified by a wide-ranging variety of variables covering differing geographic, demographic, social, and economic elements. For the set of published analytic tables the initial objective was to bring together as many variables as practical that are scattered among different tables in the P-60 report in order to describe the characteristics of families at different income class intervals. Presenting data in this kind of format has been instrumental in germinating the idea of preparing an illustrative set of economic and social account type tables. The current goal is to organize economic and social data around important and sufficiently different groups of persons who display significantly different responses and performances within the context of economic and social conditions, emphasizing at the same time the additive features associated with the data.

II. Published Analytic Tables

The first six detailed tables in the Current Population Reports, "Income in 1969 of Families and Persons in the United States," Series P-60, No. 75, pages 19 to 22, some of which are reproduced here, are designated as published analytic tables. Income in 1969 is chosen to discuss their content because the data available for the experimental tables are for that year.

The first table in the series (table 1 and shown here as table A) sharply delineates the direct relationship on the average between increases in family income in terms of mean family income or per capita income and increases in the number of persons per family, earners per family, and median school years completed by the head.

The ratio of nonearners to earners statistic in table A is an inverse variant of earners per family and the ratio varies inversely with income. The median age of head by income class is a reversed jay-shape curve "U", with higher older ages, about 62 years of age, at the lower part of the income scale and lower older ages, 50 years of age, beginning at the \$25,000 income level. Within a broad band of income, ranging from \$7,000 to \$14,999, the median age of head approximates 42 years.

The three following tables in the published set of analytic tables (tables 2, 3, and 4) are in the form of cumulative percent distributions of aggregate family income, number of families, and some of the variables used in table 1, plus the number of years of school that the head completed and the number of weeks that the head worked the previous year. Table 2 is reproduced here as table B. Some of the interesting observations that can be gleaned from the three tables are summarized in table C. Table C presents ratios based on the cumulative percent distribu-tions between aggregate family income of specific universes and selected family characteristics within these universes at four income plateaus--\$5,000, \$10,000, \$15,000, and \$25,000. The information in the table suggests that where there is some indication within a selected universe of greater response and uniformity of effort of family heads and members of the family to the economic product of the country than the average. the aforementioned ratios are lower and also tend to increase at a slower rate at the different income plateaus (in all cases for the first three plateaus) than the ratios for all families. This observation applies to all earners in families, family head with 4 or more years of college, and family head who worked year round full time. The situation is reversed for families in which the head has no more than an elementary school education or did not work last year.

Estimates of Gini ratios, a summary measure of income concentration, by selected family characteristics tend to support the observation that the greater the homogeneity in family characteristics the more likely that the ratios of percents of aggregate family income to percents of family characteristics will be lower than for family characteristics which exhibit greater het-As shown in the Bureau of the Census erogenity. Technical Paper No. 17, "Trends in the Income of Families and Persons in the United States: 1947-1964," table 32, the Gini ratio for families tends to be lowest (less income concentration) for families with heads who worked year round full time the previous year and highest (more income concentration) for families with heads who did not work the previous year. The Gini ratio for 1964 for all families was .352, for families with

Table A .--- SUMMARY MEASURES OF FAMILY CHARACTERISTICS, BY TOTAL MONEY INCOME IN 1969

(Social and demographic estimates as of March 1970. They exclude immates of institutions but include members of the Armed Forces in the United States living off post or with their families on post. Dollar estimates relate to income received in 1969)

				Aver	age number	of		Ratio		Median a school
	A11	Mean	Per capita		Related	children	79	of non-	Median age	school
Total money income	families (thousands)	income (dollars)	family income (dollars)	Persons per family	Per family	Per family with children	per family	earners to earners <u>l</u> /	of head	completed by head <u>2</u> /
Total	51,237	10,577	2,919	3.62	1.36	2.34	1.69	1.14	45.6	12.2
Under \$1,000 \$1,000 to \$1,499 \$1,500 to \$1,999 \$2,000 to \$2,499 \$3,000 to \$2,999 \$3,000 to \$3,499 \$4,000 to \$3,499 \$4,000 to \$4,999 \$5,000 to \$5,999 \$6,000 to \$6,999 \$7,000 to \$7,999 \$1000 to \$7,999 \$1000 to \$7,999 \$1000 to \$7,999 \$1000 to \$1,999 \$1000 to \$1,990 to \$1,990 to \$1,990 to \$1,990 to \$1,990 to \$	804 670 930 1,231 1,140 1,328 1,377 2,752 3,033 3,281 3,726	51 1,255 1,750 2,234 2,736 3,739 4,475 5,457 6,436 7,453 4,475	17 434 632 805 946 1,014 1,176 1,354 1,586 1,814 2,077 2,232	3.02 2.89 2.77 2.78 2.89 3.18 3.18 3.30 3.44 3.55 3.55 3.59	1.30 1.07 0.87 0.92 1.16 1.15 1.24 1.33 1.41 1.42	2.27 2.37 2.28 2.28 2.39 2.59 2.72 2.48 2.44 2.39 2.33 2.33	0.71 0.71 0.66 0.69 1.01 1.02 1.23 1.43 1.55 1.63	3.27 3.05 3.17 3.00 2.66 2.15 2.11 1.69 1.41 1.36 1.32 1.32	47.6 58.2 62.3 61.8 61.9 56.7 54.2 50.5 44.9 43.3 42.5	9.8 8.2 8.3 8.6 8.5 8.7 8.9 9.3 10.4 11.4 12.0
\$8,000 to \$8,979 \$9,000 to \$9,979 \$10,000 to \$11,999 \$12,000 to \$11,999 \$12,000 to \$14,999 \$15,000 to \$24,999 \$25,000 to \$49,999 \$50,000 and over	3,602 6,662 7,020 8,005 1,665 224	8,443 9,447 10,876 13,280 18,284 35,786	2, 323 2, 559 2, 907 3, 434 4, 602 8, 819	3.63 3.69 3.74 3.87 3.97 4.06 4.00	1.46 1.45 1.49 1.49 1.38 1.25 1.47	2.32 2.28 2.31 2.32 2.27 2.30 2.39	1.63 1.76 1.83 2.05 2.34 2.37 1.77	1.23 1.10 1.04 0.89 0.70 0.71 1.26	41.4 41.9 41.9 43.7 46.8 50.4 50.0	12.2 12.2 12.4 12.5 12.8 15.6 16.5

1/ The number of all persons without earnings in families divided by the number of persons 14 years old and over with earnings in families. 2/ Restricted to families with head 25 years old and over.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, <u>Current Population Reports</u>, Series P-60, No. 75, "Income in 1969 of Families and Persons in the United States," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1970, table 1, page 19.

Table B.--CUMULATIVE PERCENT DISTRIBUTIONS OF SELECTED FAMILY CHARACTERISTICS, BY TOTAL MONEY INCOME IN 1969

(Numbers in thousands)

Total money income	All families	Aggregate family income	Total persons in families ^{1/}	Total related children in families <u>l</u> /	All earners in families ¹ /
Total	51 , 237	\$541,934,000	185,396	69,786	86 , 711
Under \$1,000. Under \$1,500. Under \$2,000. Under \$2,500. Under \$3,000. Under \$3,500. Under \$4,000.	1.6 2.9 4.7 7.1 9.3 11.9 14.6 20.0	(Z) 0.2 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.3 3.3	1.3 2.3 3.7 5.5 7.3 9.6 12.0 16 9	1.5 2.5 3.7 5.3 6.8 9.0 11.3 16.2	0.7 1.2 1.9 2.9 3.9 5.5 7.1
Under \$6,000. Under \$7,000. Under \$7,000. Under \$8,000. Under \$9,000. Under \$10,000. Under \$12,000. Under \$15,000. Under \$25,000.	25.0 25.9 32.3 39.6 47.0 54.0 67.0 80.7 96.3	8.6 12.5 17.7 23.6 29.9 43.2 60.5 87.5	22.5 28.8 36.0 43.4 50.6 64.0 78.6 95.8	22.0 28.6 36.2 44.1 51.6 65.8 80.8 96.6	16.0 21.7 28.3 35.4 42.7 56.8 73.4 95.0

1/ Distributed by income levels of their families.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, <u>Current Population Reports</u>, Series P-60, No. 75, "Income in 1969 of families and Persons in the United States," U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1970, table 2, page 19.

Table C .- RATIOS AND INDELES OF THE PERCENTS OF ADGREGATE FAMILY INCOME TO SPECIFIED CHARACTERISTICS ABOVE \$5,000, \$15,000, \$15,000, AND \$25,000 INCOME LEVELS

Characteristics of families	Percent of characteristics	Ratios of the percents of aggregate family income	Percent of characteristics	Ratios of the percents of aggregate family income	Percent of characteristics	rocant of actoristics family income to		Ratios of the percents of aggregats family income	(Ratics family	Index of ratios (Ratios in table 2 of aggregate family income to all income=100)				
and sources of data	in bradiets at \$5,000 income level	to specified characteristics above \$5,000 income level	in brackets at \$10,000 income level	to specified characteristics above \$10,000 income level	in brachets at \$15,000 income level	to specified characteristics above \$15,000 income level	in brackets at \$25,000 income level	to specified characteristics above \$25,000 income level	Above \$5,000 income level	Above \$10,000 income level	Above \$15,000 income level	Above \$25,000 income level		
Table 2-ALL PANILIES														
Aggregate family income	100.0 (5.6)	(I)	100.0-(29.9)	(I)	100.0(60.5)	(I)	100.0-(87.5)	(11)	(I)	(X)	(I)	(1)		
All families	100.0-(20.01/	1.18:12/	100.0(54.0)	1.52:1	100.0-(80.7)	2.05:1	100.0(93.3)	3.38:1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Total persons in families	100.0-(16.9)	1.14:1	100.0-(50.6)	1.42:1	100.0-(78.6)	1.85:1	100.0(95.8)	2.98:1	96.6	93.4	90.2	88.2		
Total related children in families.	100.0-(16.2)	1,13:1	100.0-(51.6)	1.45:1	100.0-(80.8)	2.06:1	100.0(96.6)	3.68:1	95.8	95.4	100.5	108.9		
All earners in femilies	100.0-(11.0)	1.061	100.0-(42.7)	1.22:1	100.0-(73.4)	1.48:1	100.0(95.0)	2.50:1	89.8	80.3	72.2	74.0		
Table 3-HEADS 25 YEARS OLD AND OVER	10010-0.1110/	1.00.1												
Aggregate family income	100.0- (5.2)	(11)	100.0-(28.2)	(1)	100.0mm(58.9)	(11)	100.0(86.9)	(1)	(I)	(I)	(I)	(X)		
All families	100.0-(19.2)	1.17:1	100.0(52.1)	1.50:1	100.0-(79.5)	2.00:1	100.0(96.1)	3.36:1	99.2	98.7	97.6	99.4		
Elementary school. 8 years or lass								1						
Aggregate family income	100 0-(15 1)	(7)	100 0 (61 2)		100 0-(20 2)		100.0-(95.9)	(x)	(1)	(x)	(x)	(1)		
All femilies	100.0-(38.7)	1.38:1	100.0-(75.3)	1.98:1	100.0-(92.6)	2.80:1	100.0(99.3)	5.85:1	116.9	130.3	136.6	173.1		
High school. & years							•	1				-		
Aggregate family income	100.0- (3.0)	(x)	100.0-(27.5)	(1)	100.0-(63.3)	(I)	100.0(91.5)	(I)	(X)	(X)	(I)	(I)		
All families	100.0 (11.2)	1.09:1	100.0-(46.8)	1.36:	100.0-(80.1)	1.84:1	100.0(97.4)	3.27:1	92.4	89.5	89.8	96.7		
College, & or more years														
Aggregate family income	100.0- (0.9)	(x)	100.0- (9.2)	(I)	100.0-(31.3)	(x)	100.0(68.5)	(I)	(X)	(I)	(I)	(X)		
All families	100.0 (5.0)	1.04.1	100.0-(22.1)	1,17:1	100.0-(51.9)	1.43:1	100.0(85.4)	2.16:1	88.1	77.0	69.8	63.9		
Table 4 FAMILIES WITH CIVILIAN HEADS			10010-(1117)					1						
Head worked wear round full time														
Aggregate family income	100.0- (2.0)	(I)	100.0-(22.8)	(I)	100.0-(55.3)	(I)	100.0(85.8)	(x)	(1)	(x)	(1)	(x)		
All families	100.0- (7.3)	1.06:1	100.0-(40.9)	1.31:1	100.0-(74.3)	1.74:1	100.0(95.2)	2.96.1	89.8	86.2	84.9	87.6		
Read did not work in 1969														
Annagate family income	100 0 (21 2)	(*)	100 0 (65 6)	(7)	100 0 (#2 #)		100.0(94.7)			(7)		(*)		
All families	100.0-(31.3)	1 00-1	100.0(07.8)		100.0 (00.0)	(20-1	100 0-(99.4)		1.	100	2010	261 2		
	100.0(62.3)	1.621	100.0-(88.3)	2.7411	100.0(95.9)	4.0011	100.0(37.4)	0.0311	174.2	173.4	204.9	201.2		
		1				1 1		1	I		1			

1/ Computation of ratio: 100.0-(5.6) = 94.4 and $100.0-(20.0) = 80.0; \frac{94.4}{80.0} = 1.18.$

I Not applicable.

Source: Table B in text and published analytic tables 3 and 4 included in Appendix A.

heads who worked year round full time--.301, and .452 for families with heads who did not work in 1964.

The fifth table in the published set combines the cumulative distribution of aggregate family income and the component sources of such income. Aggregate family income is presented as a cumulative percent distribution and the sources of income as a percent distribution by income class intervals. Although there are many interesting relationships that can be drawn from this table as it now stands, the task of interpretation can benefit from some calculations to obtain the absolute dollar estimates on which the table is based. These estimates are shown here in table D to assist people who may find table 5 in the published report of some interest. This table points up the very substantial role of the Government in contributing to money income for families with incomes under \$5,000 in 1969 and its rapidly diminishing role in the higher income intervals as the earnings component of income becomes increasingly more important.

The last of the six tables in the published series supplements the previous tables by showing specified characteristics of families as a percent of all families, by total money income.

III. Economic and Social Perspective Tables

The income and related 1969 data from the March 1970 Current Population Survey have been rearranged to produce a set of tables that in my view have the attributes required for the establishment of a network of annual economic and social accounts linking the activities and contributions

of significant and identifiable groups of persons to the economy and to the economic position of the family. The tables try to systematically describe the commitment and efforts put forth by heads of different groups of families, unrelated individuals, and persons in producing the economy's output in terms of current labor force status and for such earnings and income-generating factors as weeks worked last year, worked full time or part time This information last year, education, and age. for heads, for example, is linked to the numbers of persons in the specific family group and aggregate family income. From these relationships, comparisons are derived indicating the economic contributions of the family head, average family size, per capita income, and other measures.

There is an extensive variety of possible combinations of family, unrelated individual, and persons groups for which individual tables can be prepared and summed to larger aggregates since the base file for this experimental work has been tabulated by two race breaks (Negro, and white and other), three income breaks, and the aforementioned variables. The package of experimental tables, which is available by writing to the author, is intended to illustrate the potential of this type of presentation for economic and social accounts. Included in the package are all families, families headed by females, and two sets of tables for male heads, one emphasizing weeks worked and the other worked full time last year, education, and age by three family income breaks-less than the low economy standard budget, more than the low economy standard budget but less than \$10,000, and more than \$10,000.

Table	D	AGGREGATE	MONEY	INCOME	OF	FAMILIES	BY	TYPE	of	INCOME,	BY	TOTAL	MONEY	INCOME	IN	1969	
						(In mil)	lio	as of	do]	llars)							

		Aggregate family money income											
			E	arnings				Income ot	her than eas	mings			
Total money income	Total aggregate income	Total	Wage or salary income	Nonfarm self employment income	Farm self- employment income	Total	Social Security and Government Railroad Retirement	Dividends, interest, net rental income, income from estates or trusts	vidends, terest, Public trental Assistance income, and come from Welfare trusts payments arrent payments		Private pensions, annuities, alimony, royalties, etc.		
Total1/ CUMULATIVE DISTRIBUTION	542,174	483, 296	434, 295	40,91 6	8,085	58,879	16,333	20,688	3,765	9,100	8,993		
Under \$1,000 Under \$1,500 Under \$2,000 Under \$2,500 Under \$3,000 Under \$4,000 Under \$5,000	370 1,210 2,836 5,582 8,700 12,983 18,134 30,448	176 469 931 1,864 2,994 5,172 7,943 16,026	176 402 799 1,556 2,514 4,306 6,776 13,837	 33 52 128 186 424 577 1,134	 34 80 180 294 442 590 1,055	194 741 1,905 3,718 5,706 7,811 10,191 14,422	92 382 1,058 2,056 3,130 4,200 5,365 7,310	21 53 103 192 351 524 749 1,260	49 208 517 939 1,378 1,798 2,140 2,629	5 30 88 235 416 619 903 1,507	27 68 139 296 431 670 1,034 1.776		
Under \$6,000. Under \$7,000. Under \$8,000. Under \$9,000. Under \$10,000. Under \$12,000. Under \$15,000.	47,004 68,119 95,891 127,863 161,892 234,339 327,561 473,916	28,934 46,810 71,343 100,426 131,756 199,828 287,416 424,275	25,303 41,591 64,299 91,394 121,023 184,490 266,502 392,151	2,087 3,216 4,581 5,993 7,267 10,872 15,340 25,041	1,544 2,003 2,463 3,039 3,466 4,466 5,594 7,083	18,070 21,309 24,548 27,437 30,136 34,511 40,145 49,641	8,908 10,064 11,107 11,894 12,663 13,667 14,793 16,005	1,817 2,457 3,257 4,013 4,768 6,164 8,503 13,656	2,863 3,109 3,264 3,380 3,475 3,547 3,543 3,745	2,182 2,703 3,388 4,017 4,584 5,704 6,938 8,587	2,300 2,976 3,532 4,133 4,646 5,429 6,268 7,648		

- Represents zero.

1/ Excludes net losses, therefore the data shown here are not strictly comparable with the aggregate income shown in table 2 which includes net losses. Moreover aggregate negative amounts in nonfarm and farm self-employment income were changed to zero values in all computations.

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, <u>Current Fopulation Reports</u>, Series P-60, No. 75, "Income in 1969 of Families and Persons in the United States," U.S. Government Frinting Office, Washington, D.C., 1970, based on table 5, page 21.

Family income below the low economy standard budget is based on the 1969 family income cutoffs established for use in connection with the Bureau of the Census statistics on the characteristics of the low income population. Family income below the low economy standard budget is a variable measure depending primarily upon size of family, presence and number of family members under 18 years of age, sex of head, and farm and nonfarm residence and a nutritionally adequate food plan that could be implemented under temporary or emergency conditions when funds are low. References to the work in this area of the Department of Agriculture, of Mollie Orshansky of the Social Security Administration, and a summary of the 1969 income cutoffs are presented in the Current Population Report on Consumer Income, P-60, No. 76, pages 17 to 20.

The estimates of average income and earnings of persons 14 years old and over in the economic and social perspective tables relate to all persons in a given group irrespective of whether they have income or earnings from a particular income source. This procedure differs from the one used for the persons tables that appear in the P-60 Consumer Income Reports. In these reports income distributions, and median and mean income estimates are restricted to persons with income or particular types Both procedures are acceptable conof earnings. sidering the respective objectives of the two kinds of tables. In presenting income size distributions by type of income, it is inappropriate, for example, to include persons who are proprietors and receive zero wages and salaries with persons who do receive wages and salaries. In contrast the all persons concept is used in the economic and social perspective tables because of the reliance on a modular or entity approach in which the different attributes and performances of diverse population groups are summed to totals.

Tables E and E' illustrate the presentation of the economic and social perspective for all families with male heads utilizing the stub that emphasizes worked full time, education, and age.

At this methodological stage of the project in which the emphasis is on the skeletal structure of the system, the table presentation has been kept relatively unencumbered. The modular approach to the tables, however, permits a great deal of flexibility in adding or subtracting modules. For example, the column on number of persons in families can be decomposed into different family relationships and sex and age characteristics. Similarly, the income data detail can be expanded by different sources of income. The occupational variable has not been tabulated in the present file used in this work in an effort to keep the volume of printouts from ballooning to unmanageable proportions. Also the limited size of the CPS sample, 50,000 households, would result in a still smaller number of observations in a cell than the file now contains. Of course, it is possible to collapse the detail for other than occupation variables, to obtain The 1970 Census of more observations per cell. Population and Housing, through its associated package of basic tapes and the various sample tapes makes available many if not all of the variables used in the CPS and thus represents an important data source for the preparation of tables for national and less than national universes.

Table E .- BOOMONIC AND SOCIAL PERSPECTIVE OF FAMILIES WITH MALE HEADS WITH SPECIAL EMPHASIS ON MALE HEADS WHO WORKED FULL TIME LAST TEAR: MARCH 1970

NUMBER OF PERSONS IN FAMILIES, AGGREGATE FAMILY INCOME, AND EARNINGS OF HEADS BY SELECTED LABOR FORCE CHARACTERISTICS. AGE. AND EDUCATION

(Social and demographic estimates as of March 1970. They exclude immates of institutions but include members of the Armed Forces in the United States living off post or with their families on post. Dollar estimates relate to income received in 1969. Humbers may not add to totals because of rounding)

	Number of	Number of	Aggregate	Aggregate in-	Aggregate earn-		Per	rcent distribu	tion	
Characteristics of male family heads	families (in thousands)	persons in families (in thousands)	income (in millions of dollars)	family heads (in millions of dollars)	family heads (in millions of dollars)	Number of families	Humber of persons in families	Aggregate family income	Aggregate income of male family heads	Aggregate earnings of male family heads
Total population	(1) 45,658.5	(2) 167,100	(3) 508,910	(4) 398,700	(5) 360,600	(6) 100.0	(7) 100.0	(8) 100.0	(9) 100.0	(10) 100.0
Civilian population	44,647.5	163,369	500,469	391,710	353,741	97.8	97.8	98.3	98.2	98.1
Civilian labor force	38, 516.4	147,200	462,775	367,600	348,300	84.4	88.1	90.9	92.2	96.6
Employed	37,511.8	143,400	453,743	360,900	342,300	82.2	85.8	89.1	90.5	94.9
Worked full time last year	35,814.1	138,600	441,480	352,900	337,400	78.4	82.9	86.7	88.5	93.6
Under 25 years	2,256.0	6,625	17,996	13,820	13,620	4.9	4.0	3.5	3.4	3.8
Elementary 1988 than o years	104.8	347	658	539	533	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
High school1 to 3 years	454.8	1,415	3,195	2,601 7,148	2,570 7,0 8 0	1.0	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.7
College-1 to 3 years	361.0	981	3,095	2,231	2,161	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
College-4 or more years	144.2	343	1,383	810	941 799	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3
College 5 or more years	24.8	63	199	146	142	0.1	(z)	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
25 to 44 years	17,762.1	78,453	211,405	177,300	172,600	38.9	46.9	41.5	44.5	47.9
Elementary 1955 than 5 years	1,205.9	5,695	11,269	8,952	8,768	2.6	3.4	2.2	2.2	2.4
High school1 to 3 years	2,853.7	13,190	28,751	23,080	22,540	6.3	7.9	5.6	5.8	6.3
College-1 to 3 years	2,462.4	10,269	31,691	26,600	25,940	5.4	6.1	6.2	6.7	7.2
College-4 or more years	3,258.4	13,653	53,483	47,300	45,370	7.1	8.2	10.5	11.9	12.6
College5 or more years	1,458.9	6,107	25,494	22,660	21,740	3.2	3.7	5.0	5.7	6.0
45 to 64 years	14,804.8	51,108	201,271	153,300	144,600	32.4	30.6	39.5	38.4	40.1
Elementary8 years	2,179.3	7,175	22.748	16,770	16,060	4.8	4.0	3.2 4.5	2.8 4.2	4.5
High school1 to 3 years	2,656.4	8,821	31,917	23,180	22,090	5.8	5.3	6.3	5.8	6.1
College-1 to 3 years	1,599.2	5,486	26,176	20,620	19,090	3.5	3.3	5.1	5.2	5.3
College4 or more years	1,904.5	7,133	40,874	34,130	31,710	4.2	4.3	8.0	8.6	8.8
College5 or more years	857.7	3,187	19,848	16,570	15,430	1.9	1.9	3.9	4.2	4.3
65 years old and over	991.2	2,375	10,802	8,429	6,594	2.2	1.4	2.1	2.1	1.8
Elementary-less than 8 years Elementary-8 years	215.4	559 537	1,511	1,066	873 1.210	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2
High school1 to 3 years	150.6	353	1,394	1,076	868	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2
College-1 to 3 years	104.9	156	1,819	1,379	677	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
College4 or more years	159.4	367	3,114	2,553	1,878	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.6	0.5
College5 or more years	78.9	175	1,808	1,601	1,181	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.3
Worked part time last year	1,465.2	4,173	11,070	7,357	4,634	3.2	2.5	2.2	1.8	1.3
Did not work last year	232.5	679	1,201	690	254	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1
Unemployed	1,004.6	3,760	9,029	6,649	5,997	2.2	2.3	1.8	1.7	1.7
Seeking full-time employment	936.5	3,564	8,612	6,394	5,832	2.1	2.1	1.7	1.0	1.6
Worked part time last year	26.9	94	144	63	35	0.1	0.1	(Z)	(Z)	(Z)
Did not work last year	35.8	109	323	171	109	0.1	0.1	0.1	(Z)	(2)
Seeking part-time employment	68.1	197	417	255	164	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	(Z)
Not in civilian labor force	6,131.1	16,169	37,694	24,110	5,441	13.4	9.7	7.4	6.0	1.5
Worked full time last year	1,012.0	2,933	9,032	6,422	4,691	2.2	1.8	1.8	1.6	1.3
25 to 44 years	82.4 175.2	730	1,501	1,020	721	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
45 to 64 years.	407.1	1,180	4,039	2,836	2,278	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.6
Worked next time last wer	555.1	1,535	3,100	1,902		1.2	0.9	0.6	0.5	0.2
Under 25 years	29.2	73	132	59	38	0.1	(z)	(Z)	(z)	(Z)
25 to 44 years	35.6 113.6	178 395	200	117 358	84 130	0.1	0.1	(Z) 0.1	(Z) 0.1	(Z) (Z)
65 years old and over	376.7	888	2,071	1,458	413	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.4	ò.i
Did not work last year	4,564.1	11,702	25,554	15,690	85	10.0	7.0	5.0	3.9	(2)
25 to 44 years	141.3	670	787	402	8	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.1	(ž)
45 to 64 years	930.6	2,863	5,955	3,231	47	2.0	1.7	1.2	0.8	(Z) (Z)
In Armed Forces	1.011.0	3,731	8.113	6,990	6.840	2.2	2.2	1.7	1.8	1.9
	1,011.0	1			0,0,0				1	

Z Less than 0.05. Eote: Summary measure statistics from the Ourrent Population Survey are usually not shown for a population base of less than 75,000 because of low reliability of the estimates. Such statistics are shown have primarily as components contributing to the aggregate estimates and generally should not be employed for between-cell comparisons. This note applies to all tables in the Sconadic and Sconal Perspective series. Estimates for the Armed Forces were obtained by subtracting estimates for the civilian population from the total population. The relatively small size of the Armed Forces were rounded to the measures thundred willions of dollars were rounded to the measurest hundred millions and the residual procedure used to conserve space in the tabulation printout is some substantial errors for some of the Armed Forces estimates Source: Current Population Survey, special tabulation.

Table E' .--- ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL PERSPECTIVE OF FAMILIES WITH MALE HEADS WITH SPECIAL EMPHASIS ON MALE HEADS WHO WORKED FULL TIME LAST YEAR: MARCH 1970 DERIVED AVERAGE ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC MEASURES AND RELATIONSHIPS FROM AGGREGATE DATA

(Social and demographic estimates as of March 1970. They exclude immates of institutions but include members of the Armed Forces in the United States living off post or with their families on post. Dollar estimates relate to income received in 1969. Humbers may not add to totals because of rounding.

Characteristics	Average family	Average income of	Average	earnings of (in dol	male family lars)	y heads	Income of male family	Average	Per capita	Per capita fami ing average sa fami	ly income exclud- rnings of male ly heads
of male family heads	income (in dollars)	male family heads (in dollars)	Total	Wages and salaries	Nonfarm self- employment	Farm self- employment	head as a percent of family income	family size	income (in dollars)	Dollars	Percent of per capita family income
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Total population	11,146	8,732	7,898	6,936	803	159	78.3	3.,66	3,045	887	29.1
Civilian population	11,209	8,773	7,923	6,939	821	163	78.3	3.66	3,063	898	29.3
Civilian labor force	12,015	9,543	9,043	7,924	939	180	79.4	3.82	3,145	778	24.7
Employed	12,096	9,621	9,125	7,983	958	184	79.5	3.82	3,166	778	24.6
Worked full time last year	12,327	9,853	9,421	8,276	959	186	79.9	3.87	3,185	751	23.6
Under 25 years	7,977	6,124	6,039	5,884	106	49	76.8	2.94	2,713	659	24.3
Elementary-less than 8 years	6,139	4,854	4,833	4,725	84	-15	79.1	3.61	1,701	356	20.9
High school1 to 3 years	7,024	5,721	5,651	5,569	72	10	81.4	3.11	2,259	441	19.5
High school4 years	8,236	6,375	6,314	6,101	149	64	77.4	2.93	2,811	656	23.3
College-4 or more years	9,594	6,632	6,528	6,318	49	161	69.1	2.38	4,031	1,288	32.0
College4 years	9,921	6,783	6,692	6,438	59	195	68.4	2.35	4,222	1,374	32.5
College5 or more years	8,016	5,903	5,736	5,736			73.0	2.53	3,168	901	28.4
25 to 44 years	11,902	9,981	9,720	8,700	883	136	83.9	4.42 5.18	1,551	374	24.1
Elementary-8 years	9,345	7,424	7,271	6,280	657	334	79.4	4.72	1,980	439	22.2
High school1 to 3 years	10,075	8,087	7,933	7,315	500	117	80.3	4.62	2,181	464	21.3
College-1 to 3 years	12,870	10,802	10,536	9,714	746	75	83.9	4.17	3,086	496	16.1
College4 or more years	16,414	14,516	13,924	11,727	2,163	34	88.4	4.19	3,917	594	15.2
College4 years	15,554	13,691	13,132	12,342	3.930	279	88.0	4.19	4.171	615	15.0
15 to 64 mers	13 595	10.357	9.765	8,353	1,156	256	76.2	3.45	3,941	1,110	28.2
Elementary-less than 8 years	8,989	6,155	5,932	5,262	438	232	68.5	3.73	2,410	820	34.0
Elementary8 years	10,438	7,693	7,368	6,336	515	516	73.7	3.29	3,173	933	29.4
High school4 years	13,570	10,183	9,622	8,450	920	252	75.0	3.38	4,015	1,168	29.1
College-1 to 3 years	16,368	12,896	11,937	10,285	1,427	225	78.8	3.43	4,772	1,292	27.1
College4 or more years	21,462	16,777	15,550	13,041	2,080	81	83.5	3.77	5,328	1,203	22.6
College-5 or more years	23,141	19,318	17,991	12,616	5,368	7	83.5	3.72	6,221	1,384	22.2
65 years old and over	10,898	8,504	6,653	4,984	1,324	345	78.0	2.40	4,541	1,769	39.0
Elementary-less than 8 years	8,627	4,952	5,300	2,915	576	256	76.7	2.35	3,671	1.416	38.6
High school1 to 3 years	9,254	7,146	5,761	4,794	622	345	77.2	2.35	3,938	1,486	37.7
High school-4 years	11,029	8,364	6,600	5,289	981	330	75.8	2.44	6,405	2.047	32.0
College4 or more years	19,537	16,021	11,781	8,009	3,539	233	82.0	2.30	8,494	3,372	39.7
College4 years	16,234	11,831	8,655	6,648	1,743	264	72.9	2.40	6,764	3,158	46.7
Correge or more years	22,910	5 001	2 162	1 006	1,040	176	66.5	2.85	2,651	1.541	58.1
Worked part time last year	E 165	2 067	1 002	1,077	2,000	13	57.4	2.92	1,769	1,395	78.9
Did not work last year	9,109	6 610	5 060	5 730	216	14	73.6	3.74	2,403	807	33.6
Secting full-time employment	9,196	6.828	6.228	5.984	230	14	74.2	3.81	2.414	779	32.3
Worked full time last year	9,321	7.049	6,509	6.256	238	15	75.6	3.85	2,421	730	30.2
Worked next time last year	5,355	2.345	1,292	1.033	259		43.8	3.51	1.526	1,158	75.9
Did not work last year	9.024	4.788	3.054	3.054		- 1	53.1	3.04	2,968	1,964	66.2
Seeking part-time employment	6.129	3.741	2.413	2.375	33	5	61.0	2.89	2,121	1,286	60.6
Not in civilian labor force	6.148	3,932	887	755	79	53	64.0	2.64	2,329	1,993	85.6
Worked full time last year	8,925	6.346	4.636	4.045	369	222	71.1	2.90	3,078	1,479	48.1
Under 25 years	4,774	2,761	2,300	2,251	49		57.8	2.67	1,788	927	51.8
25 to 44 years	9,921	5,821	4,112	3,800	535	272	70.2	2.90	3,421	1,492	43.6
65 years ald and over	8,922	6,734	4,329	3,690	331	308	75.5	2.31	3,862	1,988	51.5
Worked part time last year	5,600	3,589	1,198	835	203	160	64.1	2.76	2,029	1,595	78.6
Under 25 years	4,525	2,027	1,295	1,270	369	19	44.8 58.5	2.50	1,810	648	57.7
45 to 64 years	6,212	3,153	1,148	833	301	14	50.8	3.48	1,785	1,455	81.5
65 years and over	5,498	3,870	1,095	694	172	229	70.4	2.36	2,330	1,866	80.1
Did not work last year	5,599	3,439	19	16	-	2	61.4	2.56	2,187	2,180	99.7
Under 25 years	4,705	2.844	457	57	1	1 -	51.1	4.74	1,175	1,163	99.0
45 to 64 years	6,399	3,472	50	48	-	2	54-3	3.08	2,078	2,061	99.2
65 years and over	5,395	3,476	3	-	-	2	04.4	2.34	2,300	2,304	77.9
In Armed Forces	8,349	6,914	6,784	6,795	3	5	82.8	3.69	2,262	424	18.7

-- Represents sero.

Column 9: Column 1 divided by column 1 times 100. Column 9: Column 1 divided by column 8. Column 10: Column 1 des column 3 divided by column 8. Column 11: Column 10 divided by column 9 times 100.

Column 11: Column 10 divided by column 9 times 100.
Note: Summary measure statistics from the Current Population Survey are usually not shown for a population base of less than 75,000 because of low reliability of the estimates. Such statistics are shown here primarily as components contributing to the aggregate estimates and generally should not be employed for between-cell comparisons. This
note splies to all tables in the Economic and Social Perspective series.
Estimates of the Armed Forces were obtained by subtracting estimates for the civilian population from the total population. The relatively small size of the Armed
Forces in comparison with the total population, the rounding procedures used to conserve space in the tabulation printout (estimates in the hundreds of billions of dollars were
rounded to the margers hundred million) and the residual procedures used to estimate the parameters for the Armed Forces may result in some substantial errors for some of the
Armed Forces estimates involving income and earnings.
Source: Current Population Survey, special tabulation.

There are innumerable patterns and relationships that emerge readily from the economic and social perspective tables. The discussion here will concentrate primarily on some of the differences in group performance that show up among the three income categories for male family heads. Some of the highlights are summarized in tables F and G.

Table F .-- SELECTED STATISTICS FOR PAMILLES WITH MALE HEADS BY THREE PAMILY INCOME GROUPS: MARCH 1970

(Social and demographic estimates as of March 1970. They exclude immates of institutions. Dollar estimates relate to income received in 1969. Percents may not add to totals because of rounding)

	Family in	come Below L Standard Budg	ow Economy et	Family inc Standard Bu	ome more than dget but less	Low Economy than \$10,000	Income	more than \$1	0,000
Characteristics of family and head	Heads (in percent)	Average income of head (in dollars)	Income of male head as a percent of family income or average family size	Heads (in percent)	Average income of head (in dollars)	Income of male head as a percent of family income or average family size	Heads (in percent)	Average income of head (in dollars)	Income of male head as a percent of family income or average family size
All heads in civilian population		<i>(</i> _)				()		(=)	(-)
(in thousands)	3,084.9	(I) 1740	(I) (I)	19,135.3	(I) 5 553	(I) (T)	22,427.3	(X) 12.486	(I) (T)
Average family size.	(I) I)	(I) (I)	78.7 3.94	(I) (I)		82.0 3.32	(I) (I)	(X) (X)	76.9 3.90
CIVILIAN LABOR FORCE Income of M/H as a \$ of family income	56.9 (I)	1,969 (I)	(I) 80.7	79.7 (I)	6,085 (I)	(I) 84.8	95.9 (I)	12,613 (I)	(X) 77.7
Average family size	(I)	(I)	4.70	(I)	(I)	3.56 (*)	(I)	(X)	3.94 (T)
EMPLOINDWORKED FULL TIME LAST TEAR Income of M/H as a \$ of family income Average family size	(I) (I)	2,086 (I)	(I) 82.2 4.92	(I) (I)	6,305 (I) (X)	86.2 3.65	(I) (I)	(I) (I)	78.0
Under 25 years	4.0	1,867	(I)	8.2	5,564	(I)	2.5	8, 599	(I)
Income of M/H as a \$ of family income		(X) (X)	91.1 3.40	(I) (T)	(I) (T)	81.8 2.96	(I) (T)	(I) (I)	68.8
25 to 44 years.	21.4	2, 597	(I)	35.1	6,755	(I)	46.3	12,535	(I)
Income of M/H as a % of family income Average family size		(X) (X)	85.5 5.73	(I) (I)		89.0 4.31	(X) (X)		82.2
Elementary-less than 8 years	6.7	2,838	(I)	3.1	5,727	(I)	1.4	9,478	(I)
Average family size			6.30	(I) (I)		4.84	(I) (I)	(I)	5.11
Elementary-8 years.	3.2	2,639	(I)	3.4	6,370	(I)	(7)	9;974	(I)
Average family sime		(I)	5.81	(I)	(I)	4.56	(Î)	(x)	4.71
High school1 to 3 years	3.9	2,584	(I) #5.6	7.5	6,463	(I) 97 /	5.8 (T)	10,376	(I) 76.0
Average family size) (I)	(x)	5.71	(Î)	(Î)	4.47	(Î)	(x)	4.68
High school-4 years	5.3 (T)	2,532 (T)	(I) 87.6	14.5 (T)	7,010 (T)	(I) 90.2	17.6 (T)	11,322 (T)	(X) 80.7
Average family size	(1)	(I)	5.45	(I)	(Î)	4.24	(Î)	(I)	4.38
College1 to 3 years Income of M/H as a % of family income.	(1)	2,211 (I)	(X) 73.9	3.8 (I)	7,134 (X)	(I) 91.4	(x)	(I)	(I) 82.4
Average family size	(I)	(I)	5.32	(1)	(X) 7 201	3.93	(I) 12 0	(I)	4.24
Income of M/H as a % of family income.	(I)	(I)	87.6	(1)	(I)	90.9	(I)	(I)	88.2
Average family size	(X)	1.416	3.77 (x)	(I) 1.6	(I) 7 329	3.90 (T)	(I) 66	(X) 15 195	4.25 (T)
Income of M/H as a \$ of family income.	(I)	(1)	86.9	(I)	(I)_	92.1	(I)	(x)	87.6
Average family size College5 or more years	(I) 0.3	2.224	3.45 (X)	(I) 1.2	(I) 7.263	3.94 (I)	(X) 5.4	(X) 17.232	4.26 (X)
Income of M/H as a \$ of family income.	(I)	(I)	88.3	(I)	(I)	89.2	(X)	(I)	88.9
Average family size		(I) 1 (D)	4.31	(I) 25.6	(I)	3.83	(1)	(I) 12.001	4-25
45 to 0, years. Income of N/H as a \$ of family income Average family size	(I) (I)	(I) (I)	(X) 73.6 4.49	(I) (I)	6,065 (I) (I)	83.9 3.10	(I) (I)	(X) (X)	74.6 3.59
65 years old and over Income of M/H as a \$ of family income Average family size	1.9 (I) (I)	626 (I) (I)	(I) 73.4 2.21	2.6 (I) (I)	4,963 (I) (I)	(X) 83.2 2.29	1.9 (I) (I)	13,777 (I) (I)	(X) 76.1 2.54
EMPLOYED WORKED PART TIME LAST YEAR	8.6	1,430	(I) 7/ 2	4.8	3,764	(I)	1.3	12,250	(I)
Average family size		(I) (I)	3.80	(X) (X)	(I) (I)	66.1 2.51	(X) (X)	(X) (X)	66.1
EMPLOYED-DID NOT WORK LAST YEAR	2.1	1,070	(I)	0.8	3,289	(I)	0.1	6,441	(I)
Income of M/H as a % of family income		(I) (T)	64.0 1,33	(I) (I)	(X) (T)	58.4	(I) (T)	(I) (T)	52.2
UNIMPLOYED.	4.1	2.349	(T)	2.7	(A) 5.126	(T)	1.6	10.295	(1)
Income of M/H as a % of family income	(1)	~, (X)	80.5	(Ĩ)	(I)	77.6	(x)	(I)	70.5
Average family size	(1)	(I) 7 /44	5.05	(1)	(X)	3.28	(1)	(I)	3.96
Income of M/H as a \$ of family income	(X)	(I)	75.7	(I)	3,400 (I)	(I) 66.8	(I)	(X)	(X) 58.2
Average family size	(1)	(I)	2.94	(I)	(X)	2.41	(X)	(I)	3.15
WORKED FULL TIME LAST YEAR	3.9 (X)	1,582 (X)	(I) 75.1	3.0 (T)	4,443	(I) 72.3	1.4 (T)	11,712	(X) 70.1
Average family size	(x)	(Ī)	3.91	(Î)	(Î)	2.70	(Î)	(x)	2.87
WORKED PART TIME LAST YEAR.	4.3	1,470	(I)	1.9	3,518	(I)	0.2	9,570	(I)
Average family size	(X)	(X) (X)	74.8 3.19	(X) (X)	(X) (X)	66.7 2. 4 8	(I) (I)	(X) (X)	55.3
DID NOT WORK LAST YEAR	34.8	1,427	(1)	15.4	3,268	(I)	2.5	8,259	(I)
Income of M/H as a \$ of family income		(I) (I)	75.9 2.80	(I) (T)	(I) (T)	65.4	(I) (I)	(I) (T)	51.5
45 to 64 years old	8.1	1,/25	(T)	2.7	(+/ 3,170	~• <i>>></i> (v)	0.7	(A) 7.737	(7)
Income of M/H as a % of family income	(x)	(I)	71.0	(Ĩ)	(I)	55.6	(I)	(I)	49.2
Average lamily size	23.7	(I) 1,350	3.28 (I)	(X) 12.2	(X) 3,317	2.79 (I)	(X) 1.7	(X) g. 499	3.67 (I)
Income of M/H as a \$ of family income	(I) (Y)	(I) (T)	78.4		(X) (T)	69.4	(X)	(I)	52.5
		\	0(12	\ ≜/	(A/)	6. 66	(#/	i (≜/	1 3.02

I Not applicable.

Source: Based on tables for the Economic and Social Perspective of Families With Male Heads: March 1970.

Table G. --NUMBER, AGGREGATE INCOME, AND AGGREGATE EARNINGS OF CIVILIAN POPULATION 14 YEARS OLD AND OVER AND MALE FAMILY HEADS BY FAMILY INCOME CATEGORIES: MARCH 1970 (Dollar estimates relate to income received in 1969. Numbers may not add to totals because of rounding)

Civilian population	Civi 14 ye	lian population ars old and ov	n er	Worked empl	full time in 19 oyed in March 1	9 69 and 1970	Worked full March 1970 lation 14	Worked full time in 1969 and employed i March 1970 divided by civilian popu- lation 14 years old and over x 100			
of male family heads	Number (in thousands)	Aggregate income of persons (in millions of dollars)	Aggregate earnings of persons (in millions of dollars)	Number (in thousends)	Aggregate income of persons (in millions of dollars)	Aggregate earnings of persons (in millions of dollars)	Number (in thousands)	Aggregate income of persons (in millions of dollars)	Aggregate earnings of persons (in millions of dollars)		
			JTU	MBER			PERCENT				
Total	144,143.4	600,430	523,630	64,137.2	493,600	472,400	44.5	82.2	90.2		
All male family heads	44,647.5	391,710	353,741	35,814.1	352,900	337,400	80.2	90.1	95.4		
FAMILY INCOME CATEGORIES		[
Less than Low Economy Standard Budget	3,084.9	5,378	3,217	1,299.7	2,712	2,521	42.1	50.4	78.4		
More than "LTLESB" and less than \$10,000	19,135.3	106,260	90,344	13,674.1	86,220	83,550	71.5	81.1	92.5		
More than \$10,000	22,427.3	280,019	260,214	20,840.3	263,900	251,300	92.9	94.2	96.6		
	ł	I	PERCENT	DISTRIBUTION			,				
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0					
All male family heads	31.0	65.2	67.6	55.8	71.5	71.4					
FAMILY INCOME CATEGORIES											
Less than Low Economy Standard Budget	2.1	0.9	0.6	2.0	0.5	0.5					
More than "LTLESB" and less than \$10,000	13.3	17.7	17.3	21.3	17.5	17.7					
More than \$10,000	15.6	46.6	49.7	32.5	53.5	53.2					

Source: Based on tables for the Economic and Social Perspective of Families With Male Heads: March 1970.

There is an appreciable difference in economic effort exhibited by each group of male heads. The progression of effort beginning with male heads in families with family income below the low economy standard and extending to male heads in families with income above \$10,000 may be illustrated by referring to the percent of civilian male heads in an income category who were employed full time in 1969 and were employed in March 1970, the survey month. This statistic climbs rapidly from 42 percent for male heads in families below the low economy standard budget to 72 percent for the intermediate group, and 93 percent for males in families with income above \$10,000. It should also be noted that for the same group of heads, those in the highest family income classification are associated with family members who contribute proportionately more to the family than do family members in the other two income categories. Family members other than the head contributed 22 percent to family income for the above-\$10,000 category, 14 percent for the intermediate category, and 18 percent for the lowest income category.

With each higher income category, the proportion of male heads with less than 8 years of education and who were employed full time in 1969 and were employed in March 1970 declines from 23 percent for the lowest income category to 19 percent for the intermediate one, and 11 percent for the highest one; the latter percent represents some 2.5 million families. The modal educational level of male heads for the two highest income categories is 4 years of high school, 25 percent for males in families with income more than the low economy standard budget but less than \$10,000, and 34 percent for those in families with income above \$10,000.

For the 25- to 44-year age group and for the various income categories shown in table F, the income of male heads as a percent of family income tends to increase while average family size tends to decrease as education of head increases. At each educational level, the 25 to 44 age group in the \$10,000 and over income category has a larger family size than does the intermediate income category. In the less than college level, the average size of family at each educational level is largest for families with income less than the low economy standard budget.

To place the importance of the statistics on male heads who were employed full time in 1969 and were employed in March 1970 in sharper perspective with respect to their contributions to the economic activity of the economy and within their income categories, several percentages that appear in table G are cited. All male family heads comprised 31 percent of all persons in the civilian population, 14 years old and over, and accounted for 65 percent of all income of persons and 68 percent of their earnings. Male family heads who were employed full time in 1969 and were employed in March 1970 represented 25 percent of all persons

in the civilian population and generated 59 percent of the aggregate income of persons and 65 percent of total earnings of \$524 billion by the civilian population. For male heads in families with income of \$10,000 or more the comparable estimates were 14.5 percent of the civilian population and 48 percent of aggregate earnings of persons. Within the highest income group, \$10,000 or more of family income, the 93 percent of male heads who worked full time had 94 percent of the aggregate income and 97 percent of the earnings. This very uniform performance and reward record in the economy for a group which produces 44 percent of all income and 48 percent of all earnings is considerably different than for the other two As shown in table G, male income categories. heads who worked full time last year made up 42 percent of all male heads in families with income less than the low economy standard budget and received 50 percent of all income for the group. For male heads in the intermediate family group the comparable figures were 71.5 percent and 81 percent.

IV. <u>Improving and Expanding the Data Source and</u> <u>Scope of the Economic and Social Perspec-</u> <u>tive Tables</u>

The Current Population Survey generates each month a wealth of data across a broad spectrum of subjects. As a beneficiary of this largess, I have never quite ceased being amazed by the rational statistical network of social and economic relationships that the survey unfailingly produces. This does not mean however that the data are without limitations or that the money income concept is not losing some of its dominance as the principal indicator of welfare. The inaccuracy in reporting, particularly underreporting, interest and dividends, social security benefits and public assistance, and the difficulties in collecting reliable self-employment farm and nonfarm income is well recognized and has appeared in the income literature. The problem becomes more critical as more and more Government programs in the social sphere rely on these income statistics for planning and evaluation purposes.

Improvements in CPS income estimates by correcting for dificient income responses can be accomplished by various adjustment procedures. These may range from arbitrary allocations of income to respondents to make the survey income estimates equal independent control totals to discretionary assignments based on special surveys and associated information. For some sources of income, for example public assistance, a particularly effective method of appraising the quality of individual responses and improving coverage is to conduct surveys sampled from an established list of income recipients. This procedure permits analyses of respondents who incorrectly report no income or who correctly report the income source but incorrectly report by either overstating or under-stating the amount of income. The list sample approach cannot of course detect respondents who incorrectly report receiving income from a given source. Reducing this type of error can only

proceed from the CPS vehicle and is expensive to conduct and the results are subject to a large element of uncertainty because of the difficulty of locating respondents on a given list.

Two hundred years ago in this country nonmoney income was an important and pervasive characteristic of an economy in which a large proportion of the population was engaged in semisubsistence-type agriculture. The currently expanding nonmoney income phenomenon seems to be considerably less anchored in the technological characteristics of the economy than 200 years ago and more in man's social perception of the needs and requirements of men and women in securing stipulated social objectives. Employers increase their financial participation in private pensions and health plans and contributions to the Social Security Trust Fund with respect to their wage bill and Government assumes, develops, and finances more supportive social and health services. The growing list and value of nonmoney income sources and personal benefits derived from labor/employer contracts and practices and Government programs portend increased interest in collecting information on the subject in household surveys that are compatible with the Current Population Survey that can serve as a basic core vehicle. The precise vehicles and methods to estimate and distribute defined nonmoney income sources and personal benefits will have to be determined. The options that come to mind are possible supplements to CPS, collateral surveys, some of which could be of the list sample variety, administrative statistics, and at a minimum the heroic efforts of intelligent, imaginative, and experienced analysts in developing estimations and adjustment procedures to modify money income estimates in order to take account of nonmoney income and personal benefits derived from Government programs.

In an informative paper delivered before this Association in 1971 at Fort Collins, Colorado, "Changes in the Distribution of Taxes Among Income Groups: 1962 to 1968," Roger A. Herriot and Herman P. Miller broadly followed the methodological roads travelled earlier by other analysts to merge money and nonmoney income sources. Neither endorsing the estimates presented in table 9 of that paper nor the conclusions drawn at its finish, I am presenting them here as table H to indicate in broad terms what we can ultimately attain in bringing together more elements than money income in an overall presentation of the assignment of this Nation's output of goods and services to its population.

The flexibility of the modular format used to present the economic and social perspective tables as indicated in Section III of this paper with respect to adding additional variables or level of detail from the CPS or 1970 Census of Population and Housing can be extended to embrace the tax and Government expenditure activities discussed in the Herriot and Miller paper. In addition the modular format can accommodate consumption and wealth elements associated with identifiable groups in the population. Table H.--PERCENT DISTRIBUTIONS OF FAMILIES AND UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS AND OF TOTAL INCOME BEFORE AND AFTER TAXES, TRANSFERS, AND GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURES, FOR 1968

Adjusted money	Families	Total income	Total income	e after taxes, transfers,			
	and	before taxes,	and Gove	ernment expenditures			
income intervals	unrelated individuals	Government expenditures	A 1/	<u>в 2</u> /	_{د ع} /		
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		
Under \$2,000	9.9	0.8	2.1	2.6	3.6		
\$2,000 to \$4,000	12.4	2.9	5.1	5.4	6.6		
\$4,000 to \$6,000	12.8	5.9	7.5	7.3	8.6		
\$6,000 to \$8,000	13.9	9.7	10.5	10.1	11.1		
\$8,000 to \$10,000	13.0	11.6	11.9	11.1	12.1		
\$10,000 to \$15,000	22.2	27.3	26.4	25.1	25.6		
\$15,000 to \$25,000	12.3	23.6	21.9	21.0	20.1		
\$25,000 to \$50,000	3.0	11.3	9.8	10.4	8.4		
\$50,000 plus	0.5	6.9	4.8	7.0	3.9		

1/ A = Unallocable expenditures distributed by total income.

 $\underline{2}$ / B = Unallocable expenditures distributed by total wealth.

3/ C = Unallocable expenditures distributed by number of families and individuals.

Source: American Statistical Association, "Changes in the Distribution of Taxes Among Income Groups: 1962 to 1968," <u>1971 Proceedings of the Business and Economics Statistics Section</u>, by Roger A. Herriot and Herman P. Miller, table 9, page 113.

V. Concluding Comments

The work reported in this paper is geared to synthesizing a large amount of economic and social statistics produced from the CPS and molding it into a format suitable for presenting information and establishing a structure for economic and social accounts. A major theme that emerges from the data is the connecting link in the United States economy between economic effort and reward in terms of money income before taxes but including Government transfer payments. This finding is compatible with at least two hard facts of life that persistently reappear in history with boring regularity. First an economic system must in essence, if it is to achieve a modicum of success other than perpetuating itself by healthy doses of repression, be able to distribute rewards to productive forces in the economy in proportions that are approximately commensurate with their economic performances and also have their acquiescence with respect to Government finance and expenditure programs. As a corollary, words, phrases, and exhortations cannot paper over the first observation for any extended period of time.

Looking at the different cumulative income size distributions in Section II, table C, prompts me to point out that the ratios of percents of ag-

gregate family income to all families is a very gross relationship measure that can stand a great deal of refinement in terms of standardized universes in order to make it a more acceptable statistic for use in economic and social analysis. This comment applies equally as well to other generalized measures such as Gini ratios to describe the income inequality of all families or One can pick up the 1971 Statistical persons. Abstract of the United States, turn to table 72, page 55, and find that for 1967 the death rates per 1,000 population were identical for white and Negro and other at 9.4 per 1,000. I do not think we would leap from this statistic to the conclusion that this indicated that the health experiences of both population groups, which are different in age and sex composition, were the same. Examination of age-specific survival rates by sex shows that the populations on the average are not equally at risk with respect to death. Similarly the data as summarized in table C and other tables in this report most certainly reflect measurable differential dollar and time investment commitments and current family operating costs, again in terms of dollars and time expenditure, in securing given income levels, exclusive of any differences in innate qualities, functioning abilities, and cultural aspirations of family heads and members.